

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,254	07/08/2003	Jack Mazzola JR.	11130-045001	8181	
26211 7.	590 09/08/2004		EXAM	INER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
NEW YORK,	LLER PLAZA, SUITE 2 NY 10111	800	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	10/615,254	MAZZOLA ET AL.	*
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABA!	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matter		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 17-20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 June 2004</u> is/are: a	a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter of claim 11 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12, 14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sandor.

There is disclosed in Sandor a beverage brewing apparatus, comprising: a water inlet 131, 132 to provide water onto ground coffee; a retention vessel 120 to receive an aqueous liquid; a stationary ground coffee holder 113 positioned between the water inlet and the retention vessel and having a bottom effective to retain the ground coffee and permit passage of aqueous liquid; an agitator motor 110; a coffee agitator extending into the ground coffee holder; a heating element 114 in communication with the retention vessel; and a spout at the edge of the retention vessel to allow discharge of brewed coffee. For the structure of claim 11 see figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandor in view of Lang.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,254

Art Unit: 1761

Lang discloses that it is known in the art to provide a control and control elements for the dispensing of heated water and operation of an agitator motor.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Sandor with the controls taught by Lang, in order to operate the elements of the device.

In regards to claim 15 and 16, the recited shapes are an obvious choice of design since applicant has not disclosed that such shapes solve any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with that disclosed in the prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Hauslein and Fong are cited for their disclosure of the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,254

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla September 7, 2004 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761